# EVALUATION OF CARBON DIOXIDE, 1-OCTEN-3-OL, AND LACTIC ACID AS BAITS IN MOSQUITO MAGNET<sup>TM</sup> PRO TRAPS FOR $AEDES\ ALBOPICTUS\ IN\ NORTH\ CENTRAL\ FLORIDA^1$

D. F. HOEL,<sup>2</sup> D. L. KLINE,<sup>3</sup> S. A. ALLAN<sup>3</sup> AND A. GRANT<sup>4</sup>

ABSTRACT. The impact of the attractants 1-octen-3-ol (octenol) and L-lactic acid (Lurex<sup>TM</sup>) on collection of *Aedes albopictus* in suburban backyards was assessed in Mosquito Magnet<sup>TM</sup> Pro traps. These carbon dioxide-producing traps were additionally baited with commercial formulated lures with octenol, lactic acid, octenol + lactic acid, or no attractant (control) and evaluated in 4 residential sites. Three repetitions of the study resulted in the total collection of 1,321 *Ae. albopictus*. Significantly more *Ae. albopictus* were captured in traps baited with octenol + lactic acid than in traps baited only with octenol. Lactic acid-baited and control trap captures were not significantly different from octenol + lactic acid- or octenol-baited trap totals. Octenol- + lactic acid-baited traps collected 36.2% and 52.0% more *Ae. albopictus* than lactic acid-baited and control traps, respectively. Male *Ae. albopictus* accounted for 26.7% of the total capture. Other mosquito species collected in sufficient numbers for analysis included *Cx. nigripalpus*, *Ochlerotatus infirmatus*, *Psorophora ferox*, and *Cx. erraticus*. Larger numbers of these species were collected in traps that were unbaited or baited with only octenol than in traps baited with lactic acid or octenol + lactic acid.

KEY WORDS Aedes albopictus, attractants, Mosquito Magnet™ Pro trap, carbon dioxide, octenol, lactic acid

# INTRODUCTION

The introduced mosquito Aedes albopictus (Skuse) is one of the most prevalent nuisance mosquitoes associated with suburban environments in the southern and midwestern United States. In a recent Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)-sponsored national mosquito survey of vector control and public health personnel, Ae. albopictus was ranked 2nd only to Ae. vexans as the most troublesome mosquito in America (McKnight, personal communication). The 1st established North American population of Ae. albopictus was discovered in Houston, TX, in 1985 (Sprenger and Wuithiranyagool 1986). Since its introduction, Ae. albopictus has increased its range in the southeastern and central portions of the United States from 911 counties in 25 states in 1999 (Moore 1999) to 1,035 counties in 32 states as of December 2004 (McAllister, personal communication), including California (Linthicum et al. 2003).

Aedes albopictus is well adapted for breeding in artificial containers in and around households; quickly establishes large populations; effectively colonizes rural, forested, and unpopulated areas; and is very difficult to control. This species thrives in temperate and tropical climates similar to those in Asia where it originated (Hawley 1988) and may further expand its range within the United States. This expansion is of concern because Ae. albopictus is a competent vector of disease agents such as dengue viruses (Calisher et al. 1981) and been reported with infections of eastern equine encephalitis virus (Mitchell et al. 1992), West Nile virus (Holick et al. 2002), and La Crosse encephalitis virus (Gerhardt et al. 2001) within the United States. Distribution and population assessments are difficult because this species is not readily attracted to standard mosquito surveillance light traps (Thurman and Thurman 1955, Service 1993).

Several models of residential mosquito traps have within the last 10 years become available to the public as an aid for control of biting insects. Some traps have manufacturer's claims that use of the traps will keep mosquito populations below nuisance levels with protection of as much as 1.25 acres per trap. Homeowner mosquito trapping offers advantages over traditional chemical control methods, including reduction of biting insects (i.e., reduction of insect bites) on their immediate premises, control without pesticide use, continuous as opposed to periodic control, and the potential for reduced exposure to infected vectors. In addition, many of these traps are easy to operate and work independently for 2-3 wk. Some models offer mosquito attractants in gel- or matrix-formulated cartridges.

Although numerous chemical compounds have been screened as attractants for biting female

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper reports the results of research only. Mention of a proprietary product does not constitute an endorsement or a recommendation by the U.S. Navy or USDA for its use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 3, PSC 452, Box 5000, FPO AE 09835-9998, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Center for Medical, Agricultural and Veterinary Entomology, USDA–ARS, 1600/1700 SW 23rd Drive, PO Box, Gainesville, FL 32604.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American Biophysics Corporation, North Kingstown, RI 02852.

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE <b>2007</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2007 to 00-00-2007</b>			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Evaluation of Carbon Dioxide, 1-Octen-3-OL, and Lactic Acid as Baits in Mosquito Magnet Pro Traps for Aedes Albopictus in North Central				5b. GRANT NUMBER			
Florida					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
	ZATION NAME(S) AND AE I <b>Research Unit No.</b> 5-9998	` '		8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	TES						
14. ABSTRACT see report							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON				
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	Same as Report (SAR)	7			

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 mosquitoes, few are considered strong attractants based on both laboratory and field tests. The most attractant compounds include carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) (Gillies 1980, Mboera and Takken 1997), 1-octen-3-ol (octenol) (Kline et al. 1991a, 1991b; Kline 1994b; Kline and Mann 1998), lactic acid (Acree et al. 1968, Kline et al. 1990, Bernier et al. 2003), phenols and butanone (Kline et al. 1990), acetic acid (Vale and Hall 1985), and several amino acids (Brown and Carmichael 1961, Roessler and Brown 1964). These compounds are derived, in part, from the physiological processes of respiration, perspiration, and waste elimination in mammals, birds, and reptiles. Attractants commercially developed for use with mosquito traps include CO<sub>2</sub>, octenol, and lactic acid.

Carbon dioxide is considered a universal attractant for hematophagous insects, especially mosquitoes (Kline 1994a) and has been used extensively to enhance capture rates in many field studies (Gillies 1980). Octenol was identified from ruminant breath (Hall et al. 1984) and is recognized as an attractant because it greatly increased trap capture of tsetse flies in East Africa (Vale and Hall 1985). Early field tests identified octenol as an attractant alone or in combination with CO<sub>2</sub> for certain mosquito species of Ochlerotatus, Aedes, Anopheles, Psorophora, Coquillettidia, Mansonia, and Wyeomyia (Takken and Kline 1989, Kline et al. 1991a, Kline 1994b). Lactic acid, a component of sweat, has increased trap captures of some Ochlerotatus, Anopheles, and Culex mosquitoes when combined with CO<sub>2</sub> (Stryker and Young 1970, Kline et al. 1990).

Octenol and L(+)-lactic acid are commercially available as mosquito trap supplements. The response of Ae. albopictus to these compounds in the field is not well documented, and there are no reports pertaining to lactic acid. Shone et al. (2003) used Fay-Prince traps supplemented with CO<sub>2</sub> and octenol to capture Ae. albopictus in Maryland. They found that traps baited with CO<sub>2</sub> alone or in combination with octenol attracted significantly more Ae. albopictus than traps lacking CO<sub>2</sub> or baited only with octenol. No significant difference in trap capture was seen between octenol + CO2 and CO2-only-baited traps. Dennett et al. (2004) also obtained higher collections of Ae. albopictus from an octenolbaited Mosquito Magnet<sup>TM</sup> Liberty trap as opposed to other unbaited traps from a tire repository in Houston, TX. Conversely, an octenol-baited Mosquito Deleto<sup>TM</sup> trap caught the smallest number of Ae. albopictus in that study. Similarly, observations from field trials in Hawaii indicate that octenol may depress capture rates of Ae. albopictus (Bedard, personal communication).

Little is known about the effect of lactic acid on attraction of Ae. albopictus because previous

studies focused only on *Aedes aegypti* (Davis and Sokolove 1976, Bernier et al. 2003). Our objective was to determine the effect of baiting traps that produce carbon dioxide with commercial lures containing octenol, lactic acid, both, or neither on collection of *Ae. albopictus* in suburban settings and to monitor landing collections over the course of this trial for a possible reduction due to trapping. The effects of these treatments on other mosquito species trapped during our study are included.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were conducted using the Mosquito Magnet Pro trap (American Biophysics Corporation, North Kingstown, RI) in 4 suburban neighborhoods in Gainesville, FL. Sites were selected based on homeowner complaints of nuisance populations of biting mosquitoes and initial surveys confirmed the presence of Ae. albopictus. All sites consisted of a mixture of pine and hardwood trees with sparse undergrowth. Of these sites, one was planted extensively with bromeliads, including Neoregelra (red finger nail), Bilbergia pyramidalis, and Bilbergia spp.; another site had lesser numbers of *Neoregelra* bromeliads. Tank bromeliads are excellent breeding sources for Ae. albopictus (O'Meara et al. 1995). Trapping was conducted in three trials from 13 to 21 August, 25 August to 2 September, and 9 to 17 September 2003. Traps were placed in shaded areas under trees or just inside a tree line next to open spaces.

The Mosquito Magnet Pro trap was chosen based on positive collection results with Ae. albopictus in previous Hawaiian field investigations (McKenzie, personal communication). This trap uses counterflow geometry technology and produces warm, moist air, and  $CO_2$  at the rate of  $\sim$ 520 ml/min.

Aedes albopictus mosquitoes are diurnally active with a bimodal feeding habit during daylight (Hawley 1988). A 48-h trapping interval was selected to allow a continuous 24- period with no interruption of diurnal activity. A trapping period occurred between 0800 and 1200 h and ended ∼48 h afterward. Entire traps were rotated between sites because octenol can leave a residual odor on the trap that may bias trap performance across treatments. Preventive maintenance on traps was performed at the start of each trial by clearing the combustion chamber with compressed CO<sub>2</sub> gas following manufacturer's recommendations and by removing debris from inside of the trap collecting tube and net chamber with a moist sponge.

Treatments, all of which produced equivalent quantities of carbon dioxide, included a control trap (no lures), a trap baited with only octenol, a trap baited with only lactic acid, and a trap

Table 1. Numbers (mean ± SE)¹ of mosquitoes collected in a trap period (48 h) in Mosquito Magnet™ Pro traps producing carbon dioxide and baited with lures containing lactic acid or octenol.

Species	Lactic acid	Octenol	Lactic + octenol	Control (no lure)	P
Aedes albopictus <sup>2</sup>	27.8 ± 7.5ab	17.8 ± 4.4b	45.6 ± 10.8a	20.9 ± 4.0ab	0.0290
Anopheles crucians s.l.	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$0.6 \pm 0.6a$	$0.1 \pm 0.1a$	$0.3 \pm 0.3a$	0.6700
Coquillettidia perturbans	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$0.8 \pm 0.3a$	$0.8 \pm 0.6a$	$0.1 \pm 0.1a$	0.0400
Culex erraticus	$0.5 \pm 0.2a$	$5.6 \pm 3.8a$	$1.9 \pm 0.8a$	$2.8 \pm 1.1a$	0.0800
Cx. nigripalpus	$14.3 \pm 5.4b$	$106.8 \pm 33.7a$	$29.5 \pm 17.4b$	$173.3 \pm 68.1a$	0.0001
Ochlerotatus atlanticus	$0.4 \pm 0.2a$	$1.9 \pm 0.1a$	$0.5 \pm 0.2a$	$2.6 \pm 1.1a$	0.1100
Oc. infirmatus <sup>3</sup>	$5.5 \pm 2.1b$	$14.8 \pm 3.0a$	$7.2 \pm 2.6b$	$19.8 \pm 5.2a$	0.0003
Oc. triseriatus	$0.4 \pm 0.2a$	$1.1 \pm 0.5a$	$0.9 \pm 0.4a$	$1.3 \pm 0.6a$	0.4800
Psorophora columbiae	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$1.2 \pm 0.7a$	$0.1 \pm 0.1a$	$0.8 \pm 0.7a$	0.1200
Ps. ferox <sup>2,4</sup>	$0.5 \pm 0.3c$	$3.2 \pm 1.3ab$	$1.1 \pm 0.6$ bc	$7.5 \pm 3.3a$	0.0001
Wyeomyia mitchellii	$0.6 \pm 0.4a$	$0.8 \pm 0.5a$	$0.3 \pm 0.2a$	$1.9 \pm 1.4a$	0.6300
Culex spp.	$1.7 \pm 0.1a$	$2.8 \pm 1.5a$	$1.9 \pm 1.3a$	$1.9 \pm 0.6a$	0.8100
Psorophora spp.	$1.2 \pm 0.7b$	$3.5 \pm 1.3ab$	$1.8 \pm 1.3b$	$9.3 \pm 3.4a$	0.0007

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Means within each row followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Ryan–Einot–Gabriel–Welsh multiple range test). n = 12 trap periods (48 h).

baited with both octenol + lactic acid. Commercial lures containing octenol and lactic acid were provided by American Biophysics Corporation. The lactic acid cartridges (Lurex<sup>TM</sup>) contained 4.88 g of lactic acid embedded into a 13.8-g clear gelatin matrix sealed in a plastic package that releases lactic acid over 3 wk at ~230 mg/day. This lactic acid release rate is apparently below the repellency threshold of *Ae. albopictus* (Davis and Sokolove 1976). Octenol cartridges released 1.66 g of octenol from a microporous polyethylene block over 3 wk at 80°F (26.7°C) (79 mg/day). All cartridges were replaced at the beginning of each trial (after 8 days of use).

Efficacy of traps on biting activity in the vicinity of the traps was assessed during the trials with landing counts of female *Ae. albopictus*. Collections were made before traps were rotated and included mosquitoes that landed on exposed legs during a 3-min period by using a hand-held flashlight aspirator (Hausherr's Machine Works, Toms River, NJ). All landing collections were made within a 1-acre radius of the trap (within 36 m of the trap). Mosquitoes were anesthetized with carbon dioxide and transferred to labeled paper cups (Solo Cup Company, Urbana, IL) for later identification to species by using Darsie and Morris (2000).

A 4  $\times$  4 Latin square design was used for each of the 3 trials with trap location randomized between trials. Collections of *Ae. albopictus* were analyzed for treatment, site, and collection period (=48 h) effect by using a 3-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (SAS Institute 2001). The Ryan–Einot–Gabriel–Welsh (REGW) multiple range test was used to identify significant differences between treatments (P < 0.05). Other mosquito species were then analyzed for treatment, site, and period effects by using a 3-way

ANOVA and multiple comparisons with the REGW multiple range test (P < 0.05). All capture data were transformed with  $\log_{10}$  (x + 1) before analysis.

#### RESULTS

Eleven species were collected in the traps (Table 1). In total, 6,787 mosquitoes were collected during the 12 trap periods with Ae. albopictus making up 19.5% of the catch (1,321). Collections of Ae. albopictus differed significantly between treatments (F = 3.44; df =3, 30; P = 0.029) and collection sites (F = 5.69; df = 3, 30; P = 0.003). The collection site planted extensively in tank bromeliads yielded 40.2% (531) of all Ae. albopictus collected among the 4 sites. Collections of Ae. albopictus were largest from traps baited with lactic acid and octenol  $(45.6 \pm 10.8)$ , and the lowest collections were from traps baited with octenol only (17.8  $\pm$  4.4). Moderate collections were obtained in traps baited with lactic acid alone (27.8  $\pm$  7.5) and the control trap (20.9  $\pm$  4.0), although these collections did not differ significantly from traps baited with octenol alone or octenol and lactic acid (Table 1).

Significantly more *Culex nigripalpus* Theobald (F = 14.89; df = 3, 30; P = 0.0001) were caught in octenol-baited and unbaited traps than in lactic acid-baited and octenol- + lactic acid-baited traps. A similar pattern was observed with *Ochlerotatus infirmatus* (Dyar and Knab) (F = 8.41; df = 3, 30; P = 0.0003). Collections of *Psorophora ferox* (von Humboldt) also differed among treatments with the largest collection in control traps (F = 10.95; df = 3, 30; P = 0.001).

The percentage of male and female Ae. albopictus collected in traps was similar for all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Significant position effect (P < 0.05).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Adults could not be distinguished from *Oc. tormenter*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Significant period effect (P < 0.05).

	ionide and carred with	and und culted with fures producing metre und or cottoner.				
Treatment	Mean (±SE)1 collected/trap period					
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	P		
Lactic acid	49.7 (15.1)	23.2 (10.2)	10.5 (2.7)	0.06		
Octenol	27.2 (8.9)	14.7 (9.1)	11.2 (3.6)	0.33		
Octenol + lactic acid	80.0 (19.6)	21.5 (12.9)	29.2 (8.1)	0.03		
Control	28.2 (9.4)	9.75 (3.3)	24.7 (2.6)	0.12		

Table 2. Collection over the 3 trials of *Aedes albopictus* in Mosquito Magnet™ Pro traps producing carbon dioxide and baited with lures producing lactic acid or octenol.

attractants. Traps baited with lactic acid were made up of 23.8% females and 29.4% males, whereas those baited with lactic acid + octenol contained 39.3% females and 39.6% males. Traps baited with octenol had 16.2% and 15.0% females and males, respectively, whereas control traps captured 20.8% females and 14.2% males.

The collection of Ae. albopictus over the 3 trials differed significantly for the octenol + lactic acid treatment. An  $\sim$ 5-fold reduction was seen with the lactic acid and control treatments (Table 2). No significant reduction was seen for the octenol and control treatments that captured the fewest Ae. albopictus. The landing activity of Ae. albopictus during this these trials remained stable. Three-minute landing collection totals of Ae. albopictus from trap sites on most collection days during these trials averaged  $3.8 \pm 0.7$ ,  $5.0 \pm 0.4$ , and  $3.5 \pm 1.1$  for trials 1, 2, and 3, respectively, and these differences were not significant (F = 0.83; df = 2, 30; P = 0.49).

Table 1 lists the other mosquito species collected. In descending order, *Cx. nigripalpus*, *Ae. albopictus*, *Oc. infirmatus*, *Ps. ferox*, and *Cx. erraticus* were the 5 most abundant mosquitoes collected. Many *Psorophora* mosquitoes (188) were excluded from analysis because specimens were damaged and identification was impossible. It is likely that most of these specimens were *Ps. ferox*, but 24 *Ps. columbiae*, 17 *Ps. howardii* Coquillett, and a *Ps. ciliata* F. also were collected. Excluding *Ae. albopictus*, a small proportion of collections consisted of males (0.5% = 27 specimens).

# **DISCUSSION**

Residential mosquito traps are promising for capture of *Ae. albopictus* (Smith et al. 2002, Smith and Walsh 2003, Dennett et al. 2004), especially with the addition of commercially formulated slow-release mosquito attractants. Two products contain either octenol or lactic acid. Our results indicated that the use of the lactic acid and octenol lures in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> in Mosquito Magnet Pro traps resulted in higher collections than in traps with octenol lures only. Neither collection differed significantly from the control CO<sub>2</sub>-baited Mosquito Magnet Pro traps. A

similar trend was seen in field observations in Hawaii in which octenol-baited Mosquito Magnet Pro traps collected fewer Ae. albopictus than unbaited traps (Bedard, personal communication). In contrast, Dennett et al. (2004) trapped significantly more Ae. albopictus from an octenolbaited Mosquito Magnet Liberty trap than any of 6 other trap types; however, an unbaited Liberty trap was not used for comparison. Control traps that used only CO2 as an attractant collected similar numbers of Ae. albopictus as well as other mosquito species as octenol + CO<sub>2</sub>-baited traps in our study. Using CDC light traps, Vythilingam et al. (1992) collected twice as many Ae. albopictus in CO<sub>2</sub>-baited traps than in octenol + CO<sub>2</sub>-baited traps, although trap totals were small and trap mean differences were not significant. No octenol flow rate was provided.

Lactic acid is a known attractant for Ae. aegypti (Acree et al. 1968), which have lactic acid-sensitive receptors on their antennae (Davis and Sokolove 1976). In dual-port olfactometer studies, lactic acid + acetone blends were as attractive as lactic acid + CO<sub>2</sub> blends (Bernier et al. 2003). Few reports exist concerning the effects of lactic acid on attraction of Ae. albopictus. In a field study in Japan, lactic acid-baited traps were no more attractive to Ae. albopictus than unbaited traps (Kusakabe and Ikeshoji 1990); however, release rates were not provided. At high skin surface concentrations (>41.7 ppm), lactic acid was repellent to Ae. albopictus (Shirai et al. 2001).

The use of blends of 2 or 3 attractants often increases trap collections more than the use of 1 attractant alone (Gillies 1980, Kline et al. 1990, Lehane 1991, Bernier et al. 2003). In our study, the addition of lactic acid to octenol lures in the presence of  $CO_2$  increased collections of Ae. albopictus. Based on these results, surveillance or population reduction efforts targeting Ae. albopictus with residential traps could be improved when using traps baited with octenol by adding lactic acid. The majority of all male and female Ae. albopictus were collected in octenol + lactic acid- or lactic acid-baited traps (64.9%). The use of octenol alone seemed to depress collections of Ae. albopictus, whereas the addition of lactic acid baits to octenol-baited traps had a synergistic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Means compared by ANOVA (P > 0.05).

effect on trap collections. Likewise, *Ae. aegypti* responds positively to low concentrations of lactic acid (10 µg) (Acree et al. 1968), and it seems that *Ae. albopictus* is lactic acid sensitive as well. A much higher percentage of male *Ae. albopictus* were collected (26.7% of total collection) compared with males of other species (<1.0%), possibly due to a limited flight range in this species (Bonnet and Worcester 1946), the attraction of males to hosts (Gubler and Bhattacharya 1972), or the wingbeat frequency of females (Kanda et al. 1987, Ikeshoji and Yap 1990).

The most abundant species captured in this study was Cx. nigripalpus, and collections were significantly affected by the attractants. Control and octenol-baited traps collected significantly more Cx. nigripalpus than did traps baited with lactic acid. The 2 traps baited with lactic acid accounted for 13.5% of the total number collected, indicating that lactic acid may have negatively affected Cx. nigripalpus collections. Kline and Mann (1998) noted a similar reduction of Cx. nigripalpus captures in north Florida with octenol- + CO<sub>2</sub>-baited CDC light traps. In the Florida Everglades, Kline et al. (1990) trapped similar numbers of Cx. nigripalpus in octenol-+ CO<sub>2</sub>-baited and CO<sub>2</sub>-baited CDC light traps. These results indicate that octenol and lactic acid do not contribute to collection of Cx. nigripalpus. Smaller numbers of Cx. erraticus were collected in this study with no significant differences among attractants. Collections in octenol-baited traps were about 2-fold greater than to other traps. Similarly, Kline et al. (1991a) reported larger numbers of Cx. erraticus collected in octenol- + CO<sub>2</sub>-baited traps than in CO<sub>2</sub>-baited traps; however, differences were not significant.

Ochlerotatus infirmatus was an abundant species with significantly larger numbers in control (42%) and octenol-baited traps (31%) than in octenol- + lactic acid- or lactic acid-baited traps. The 2 traps baited with lactic acid captured <30% of collections of this species, indicating that lactic acid may be somewhat repellent. In a study on attraction of octenol and CO<sub>2</sub>, Kline and Mann (1998) collected more Oc. infirmatus in CDC light traps baited with octenol + CO<sub>2</sub> than with CO<sub>2</sub> or octenol. Although it seems that the addition of octenol to CO<sub>2</sub>-baited traps does not adversely affect Oc. infirmatus captures, lactic acid baits should be avoided if this species is targeted for capture.

Collections of *Ps. ferox* and other *Psorophora* species differed significantly among treatments, with the largest collection of *Ps. ferox* from the control traps. The addition of lures seemed to decrease collections of *Psorophora* species. Kline (1994b) reported that octenol + CO<sub>2</sub> had an increased synergistic effect on *Psorophora* spp. capture rates compared with octenol alone. Kline et al. (1991a) caught 3 times more *Ps. columbiae* 

in unlit CDC light traps baited with octenol +  $CO_2$  than in  $CO_2$ -baited traps. Their results differ from ours with  $Ps.\ ferox$ , and this discrepancy may be related to differences in host preference between the species. Based on these data, control treatments ( $CO_2$  alone) are best if targeting  $Ps.\ ferox$ .

There was a reduction of Ae. albopictus in yards with certain lures. Collections of Ae. *albopictus* from octenol- + lactic acid-baited traps decreased 4-fold; however, there were no significant reductions in yards with control traps or traps baited with lactic acid or octenol over the course of the 3 trials. During this same interval, Ae. albopictus collections in CDC light traps from 6 locations in Gainesville increased slightly, so that decreased catches in the octenol + lactic acid treatment could not be attributed to a declining source population. The decreases may indicate a reduction in backyard populations in those yards with the octenol + lactic acid baits. Landing rates of Ae. albopictus at these sites remained steady despite the presence of baited Mosquito Magnet Pro traps suggesting that humans are still preferred over baited traps. This preference may be due in part to the high attractancy of mosquitoes to moving targets (humans) compared to stationary targets (mechanical traps). Fieldworkers in an aedine mosquito-infested forest suspected that their movements initiated attack (Allan et al. 1987), and Sippel and Brown (1953) noted that transparent containers with anesthetized mice were 3.7 times less attractive to Ae. aegypti than containers with active, moving mice. The addition of movement in human collectors is an added attractancy factor that Mosquito Magnet Pro traps do not provide. However, Mosquito Magnet traps operate continuously and therefore constantly remove mosquitoes even when other competitive sources are not present.

Use of lactic acid + octenol with the CO<sub>2</sub>-emitting Mosquito Magnet Pro traps resulted in more *Ae. albopictus* collected than with the octenol-baited traps. However, neither treatment differed significantly from traps without either attractant. Further efficacy studies using lactic acid-baited traps would be useful in determining its impact on suburban and woodland mosquito populations.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Shawn Bedard and Karen McKenzie of American Biophysics Corporation for providing *Ae. albopictus* trap collection data from Hawaii, for technical details and instructions for proper maintenance and operation of the Mosquito Magnet Pro trap, and for supplying the traps and attractants used in this study.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Acree F Jr., Turner RB, Gouck HK, Beroza M. 1968. L-lactic acid: a mosquito attractant isolated from humans. Science 161:1346–1347.
- Allan SA, Day JF, Edman JD. 1987. Visual ecology of biting flies. *Annu Rev Entomol* 32:297–316.
- Bernier UR, Kline DL, Posey KH, Booth MM, Yost RA, Barnard DR. 2003. Synergistic attraction of *Aedes aegypti* to binary blends of L-lactic acid and acetone, dichloromethane, or dimethyl disulfide. *J Med Entomol* 40:653–656.
- Bonnet DD, Worcester DJ. 1946. The dispersal of Aedes albopictus in the territory of Hawaii. Am J Trop Med 26:465–476.
- Brown AWA, Carmichael AG. 1961. Lysine and alanine as mosquito attractants. *J Econ Entomol* 54:317–324.
- Calisher CH, Nuti M, Lazuick JS, Ferrari JDM, Kappus KD. 1981. Dengue in the Seychelles. Bull World Health Organ 59:619–622.
- Darsie RF, Morris CD. 2000. Keys to the adult females and fourth instar larvae of the mosquitoes of Florida (Diptera: Culicidae), vol. 1 Florida Mosquito Control Association: Ft. Myers, FL.
- Davis EE, Sokolove PG. 1976. Lactic acid-sensitive receptors on the antennae of the mosquito, *Aedes aegypti. J Comp Physiol* 105:43–56.
- Dennett JA, Vessey NY, Parsons RE. 2004. A comparison of seven traps used for collection of *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti* originating from a large tire repository in Harris County (Houston), Texas. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 20:342–349.
- Gerhardt RR, Gottfried KL, Apperson CS, Davis BC, Erwin PC, Smith AB, Panella NA, Powell EE, Nasci RS. 2001. First isolation of La Crosse Virus from naturally infected *Aedes albopictus*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 7:807–811.
- Gillies MT. 1980. The role of carbon dioxide in host-finding by mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): a review. *Bull Entomol Res* 70:525–532.
- Gubler DJ, Bhattacharya NC. 1972. Swarming and mating of *Aedes (S.) albopictus* in nature. *Mosq News* 32:219–223.
- Hall DR, Beevor PS, Cork A, Nesbitt BF, Vale GA. 1984. 1-Octen-3-ol: a potent olfactory stimulant and attractant for tsetse isolated from cattle odours. *Insect Sci Appl* 5:335–339.
- Hawley WA. 1988. The biology of *Aedes albopictus*. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 4(Suppl 1):1–40.
- Holick J, Kyle A, Ferraro W, Delaney RR, Iwaseczko M. 2002. Discovery of *Aedes albopictus* infected with West Nile virus in southeastern Pennsylvania. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 18:131.
- Ikeshoji T, Yap HH. 1990. Impact of the insecticidetreated sound traps on an *Aedes albopictus* population. *Jpn J Sanit Zool* 41:213–217.
- Kanda T, Cheong WH, Loong KP, Lim TW, Ogawa K, Chiang GL, Sucharit S. 1987. Collection of male mosquitoes from field populations by sound trapping. *Trop Biomed* 4:161–166.
- Kline DL. 1994a. Introduction to symposium on attractants for mosquito surveillance and control. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 10:253–257.
- Kline DL. 1994b. Olfactory attractants for mosquito surveillance and control: 1-octen-3-ol. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 10:280–287.

- Kline DL, Dame DA, Meisch MV. 1991a. Evaluation of 1-octenol-3-ol and carbon dioxide as attractants for mosquitoes associated with irrigated rice fields in Arkansas. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 7:165–169.
- Kline DL, Takken W, Wood JR, Cornell JA. 1991b. Interactive effects of 1-octen-3-ol and carbon dioxide on mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) surveillance and control. *J Med Entomol* 28:254–258.
- Kline DL, Mann MO. 1998. Evaluation of butanone, carbon dioxide, and 1-octen-3-ol as attractants for mosquitoes associated with north central Florida bay and cypress swamps. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 14:289–297.
- Kline DL, Takken W, Wood JR, Carlson DA. 1990. Field studies on the potential of butanone, carbon dioxide, honey extract, 1-octen-3-ol, L-lactic acid and phenols as attractants for mosquitoes. *Med Vet Entomol* 4:383–391.
- Kusakabe Y, Ikeshoji T. 1990. Comparative attractancy of physical and chemical stimuli to aedine mosquitoes. *Jpn J Sanit Zool* 41:219–225.
- Lehane MJ. 1991. Biology of blood-sucking insects. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Linthicum KJ, Kramer VL, Madon MB, Fujioka K. 2003. Introduction and potential establishment of Aedes albopictus in California in 2001. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 19:301–308.
- Mboera LEG, Takken W. 1997. Carbon dioxide chemotropism in mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) and its potential in vector surveillance and management programmes. *Rev Med Vet Entomol* 85:355–368.
- Mitchell CJ, Niebylski ML, Smith GC, Karabatsos N,
   Martin D, Mutebi JP, Craig GB Jr., Mahler MJ. 1992.
   Isolation of Eastern equine encephalitis virus from Aedes albopictus in Florida. Science 257:526–527.
- Moore CG. 1999. *Aedes albopictus* in the United States: current status and prospects for further spread. *J Am Mosg Control Assoc* 15:221–227.
- O'Meara GF, Evans LF Jr., Gettman AD, Patterson AW. 1995. Exotic tank bromeliads harboring immature *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes bahamensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Florida. *J Vector Ecol* 20:216–224.
- Roessler P, Brown AWA. 1964. Studies on the responses of the female *Aedes* mosquito. X. Comparison of oestrogens and amino-acids as attractants. *Bull Entomol Res* 55:395–403.
- SAS Institute. 2001. SAS/STAT user's manual, version 8.2. SAS Institute: Cary, NC.
- Service MW. 1993. Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods. London: Elsevier Applied Science.
- Shirai Y, Kamimura K, Seki T, Morohashi M. 2001. Llactic acid as a mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) repellent on human and mouse skin. *J Med Entomol* 38:51–54.
- Shone SM, Ferrao PN, Lesser CR, Glass GE, Norris DE. 2003. Evaluation of carbon dioxide- and 1-octen-3-ol-baited centers for disease control Fay-Prince traps to collect *Aedes albopictus*. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 19:445–447.
- Sippel WL, Brown AWA. 1953. Studies on the responses of the female Aedes aegypti. Part V. The role of visual factors. Bull Entomol Res 43:567–574.
- Smith J, Walsh J, Huss R. 2002. Mosquito-capturing prowess of several commercial traps. Florida A&M University: Tallahassee, FL. http://pherec.org/DECS/Trapping%20Systems/
- Smith JP, Walsh JD. 2003. Evaluation of seven commercial mosquito control systems. Florida

- A&M University: Tallahassee, FL. http://pherec.org/DECS/Trapping%20Systems/
- Sprenger D, Wuithiranyagool T. 1986. The discovery and distribution of *Aedes albopictus* in Harris County, Texas. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 2:217–219.
- Stryker RG, Young WW. 1970. Effectiveness of carbon dioxide and L(+) lactic acid in mosquito light traps with and without light. *Mosq News* 30:388–393.
- Takken W, Kline DL. 1989. Carbon dioxide and 1-octen-3-ol as mosquito attractants. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 5:311–316.
- Thurman DC Jr., Thurman EB. 1955. Report of the initial operation of a light trap in northern Thailand. *Mosq News* 15:218–224.
- Vale GA, Hall DR. 1985. The role of 1-octen-3-ol, acetone and carbon dioxide in the attraction of tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp. (Diptera: Glossinidae), to ox odour. *Bull Entomol Res* 75:209–217.
- Vythilingam I, Lain CG, Thim CS. 1992. Evaluation of carbon dioxide and 1-octen-3-ol as mosquito attractants. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Heath* 23: 328–331.